



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/534,170 | 03/24/2000 | Yoram Levanon | 1268-094 | 2252 |

7590 03/31/2003

Lowe Hauptman Gopstein Gilman & Berner LLP
Suite 310
1700 Diagonal Road
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3622

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/534,170

Applicant(s)

LEVANON ET AL.

Examiner

GRAVINI

Group Art Unit

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC STAMBER (SPE)

(3)

(2) RANDY NORNBROCK (APPLICANT'S REP.)

(4)

Date of Interview 3/31/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

DISCUSSED: PREMATURE FINALITY AND THE INTERVIEW OF 1/15/03CHANGES TO CLAIMS IN CPA/RCE AMENDMENT OF 2/10/03 WERE MORE THAN JUST EDITORIAL IN NATURE AND DID NOT WARRANT A FINAL REJECTION.OFFICE ACTION OF 3/12/03 WILL BE CONSIDERED NON-FINAL, APPLICANT'S NEXT RESPONSE/AMENDMENT WILL BE ENTERED AS A RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL ACTION, AND ANOTHER ACTION (WHICH MAY BE FINAL) WILL FOLLOW BASED ON THAT RESPONSE.APPLICANT'S REP. IS URGED TO CONTACT EXAMINER GRAVINI FOR AN INTERVIEW.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.
ERIC W. STAMBERSUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.